IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.725 OF 2006.
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO.347 OF 1991

Smt. Manoramabai S. Bhide ..Applicant

versus

Tukaram Ganu Tukrul & Ors. ..Respondents

Mr.Sadanand Pandit for the Applicant

for the Respondent

Coram : S.R.Sathe, J.
Dated : 27th April, 2006

P.C.

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the Appellant. It does appear from the record that there is considerable delay in filing the restoration application. However, it appers that there is some inadvertance on the part of the Advocate in not attending the matter. Moreover, it seems that the matter has been dismissed for technical reason i.e. not supplying paper book in time. So, in order to do substantial justice it is necessary to restore the matter. Hence, the matter is restored.

. Application disposed of accordingly.

(S.R.Sathe, J.)